

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the subject application as amended herein is respectfully requested.

The Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the courtesy extended during the interview of March 29, 2005. At the interview the claims and the Jaffri reference were discussed and it was agreed that the claims as amended herein were distinguishable over said reference.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the specification, attached sketches A and B with proposed changes for Figs. 2 and 3. More specifically, Figs. 2 and 3 are amended to show the discontinuity or bridge 42.

In addition, in sketch A, it is proposed that Fig. 1 be amended to show that the non-adhesive area 32 is severed by the edge 24 into two segments 32A, 32B, as described in the amended Par. 40 spanning pages 5 and 6 in the specification. These changes are made to provide proper antecedent basis for amended claim 1.

If any fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of any such fee to the Deposit Account No. 07-1730, Docket No. 4316/094. A duplicate copy of this Communication is attached for that purpose.

The Applicant also wishes to inform the Examiner that the Applicant now claims a Large Entity status.

It is respectfully submitted that the subject application is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN, P.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant  
270 Madison Avenue, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 684-3900



---

Tiberiu Weisz, Reg. No. 29,876

Dated: April 8, 2005  
S:\spipemo\Clients\4316094 After Final.wpd